

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

Reliable Computing I

Lecture 2: Reliability Metrics

Instructor: Mehdi Tahoori


INSTITUTE OF COMPUTER ENGINEERING (ITEC) – CHAIR FOR DEPENDABLE NANO COMPUTING (CDNC)



KIT – University of the State of Baden-Wuerttemberg and
National Research Center of the Helmholtz Association


www.kit.edu

Today's Lecture


Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

- Definition, metrics, and terminology

fault-tol·er·ant \ˈfɔlt-ˈtäl(-ə)-rənt\
adj : able to function in the
absence of a major component



(c) 2017, Mehdi Tahoori

Reliable Computing I: Lecture 2

2

Goals of Fault Tolerant Systems




- How can we deal with problems?
- Option 1: Make problems less likely
 - Tough to do!
 - Testing and design for test (DFT) can help avoid physical defects
 - Careful design reviews can help to avoid design bugs
 - Training and practice can help to avoid operator error
- Option 2: Fail, but don't corrupt anything
 - Example: ATM should shut down instead of passing out money
- Option 3: Transparently tolerate problems
 - Use hardware and/or software to mask fault effects
 - Key: use **redundancy** (a.k.a. spares or backups)
 - Example: having a co-pilot on an airplane

Reliable Computing System



- Correct outputs
 - Desired performance, power consumption
- Changing/varying environmental conditions
 - Power supply, radiation, noise
- Manufacturing process conditions
 - Defects, process variation
- Design errors

Reliability approaches




- **Fault avoidance: eliminate problem sources**
 - Remove defects: Testing and debugging
 - Robust design: reduce probability of defects
 - Minimize environmental stress: Radiation shielding etc
 - Impossible to avoid faults completely
 - Occurrence of failures minimized
- **Fault tolerance: add redundancy to mask effect**
 - Failures during system operation
 - Recovery & repair
 - Examples:
 - Error correction coding
 - Backup storage
 - Spare tire

(c) 2017, Mehdi Tahoori

Reliable Computing I: Lecture 2

5

System View of Dependable Computing



Applications	
SIFT	Application program interface (API)
	Middleware
Reliable communications	
Operating system	
Hardware	System network
	Processing elements
	Memory
	Storage system

What can be provided in software and application itself?

What can be provided in the communication layer?

What can be provided in the operating system?



What can be provided in hardware to ensure fail-silent behavior of system components ?


How to combine hardware and software fault tolerance techniques - (1) fast error detection in hardware, (2) high efficiency detection and recovery in software
 How to assess whether the achieved availability meets system requirements


(c) 2017, Mehdi Tahoori

Reliable Computing I: Lecture 2


6





- ## Intuitive Concepts
- 
- Reliability – continues to work
 - Availability – works when I need it
 - Safety – does not put me in jeopardy
 - Performability - combination of reliability & performance
 - “Graceful degradation”: loss of performance due to minor failures
 - Maintainability - ease of repairing a system after failure
 - Testability - ease of detecting presence of a fault
 - **Survivability** – will the system survive catastrophic events?
- (c) 2017, Mehdi Tahoori Reliable Computing I: Lecture 2 10


Something is wrong...




- Defect
 - Distortion of the physical shape
- Fault
 - Logical model of defects
- Error
 - Incorrect signal values/state/information in computation
- Failure
 - Deviation from designed characteristics
 - Observed malfunction during operation
 - Loss of intended function

(c) 2017, Mehdi Tahoori
Reliable Computing I: Lecture 2
11

Something is wrong...



Internal to system external




```


graph LR
    A["Defects (physical)"] --> B["Errors (information)"]
    B --> C["Failures (application)"]
    
```

- Latent fault: which has not yet produced error
 - Faulty component will produce error only when used by a process.
- Latent error: which has not yet produced failure.
 - An infected person may not show symptoms of a disease.

(c) 2017, Mehdi Tahoori
Reliable Computing I: Lecture 2
12

Something is wrong...






The pie chart is divided into three segments: a light blue segment for 'Structural view: Defect/Fault', a dark blue segment for 'User's view: Failure', and a medium blue segment for 'Information theoretical view: Error'.

- **Fault:** abstraction of physical defect or bug to structural level
- **Error:** effect of an physical defect, bug
- **Failure:** malfunction of the system, breakdown

(c) 2017, Mehdi Tahoori
Reliable Computing I: Lecture 2
13

What to do about faults?



- Finding & identifying faults:
 - **Fault detection:** is a fault there?
 - **Fault location:** where?
 - **Fault diagnosis:** which fault it is?
- Automatic handling of faults
 - **Fault containment:** blocking error flow
 - **Fault masking:** fault has no effect
 - **Fault recovery:** back to correct operation


(c) 2017, Mehdi Tahoori
Reliable Computing I: Lecture 2
14

System Response to Faults



- Error on output: may be acceptable in non-critical systems if happens only rarely
- **Fault masking**: output correct even when fault from a specific class occurs
 - Critical applications: air/space/manufacturing
- **Fault-secure**: output correct or error indication
 - Retryable: banking, telephony, payroll
- **Fail safe**: output correct or in safe state
 - Flashing red traffic light, disabled ATM

Fault Cycle & Dependability Measures




Reliability:
a measure of the continuous delivery of service;
 $R(t)$ is the probability that the system survives (does not fail) throughout $[0, t]$;
expected value: $MTTF$ (Mean Time To Failure)

Maintainability:
a measure of the service interruption
 $M(t)$ is the probability that the system will be repaired within a time less than t ;
expected value: $MTTR$ (Mean Time To Repair)

Availability:
a measure of the service delivery with respect to the alternation of the delivery and interruptions
 $A(t)$ is the probability that the system delivers a proper (conforming to specification) service at a given time t .
expected value: $EA = MTTF / (MTTF + MTTR)$

Safety:
a measure of the time to catastrophic failure
 $S(t)$ is the probability that no catastrophic failures occur during $[0, t]$;
expected value:
 $MTTCF$ (Mean Time To Catastrophic Failure)



First some probabilities...

- For each random variable X ,
 - cumulative distribution function (CDF): $F(x) = P(X \leq x)$
 - Probability P that event X is less than or equal to value of x
 - Probability mass function (PMF): $F(x) = P(X = x)$
 - Probability density function (PDF): $f(x) = \frac{dF}{dx}$
 - Such that in general $P(a \leq x \leq b) = \int_a^b f(x) dx$
 - Mean or Expected value: $E[X] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} xf(x)dx$
 - Variance: $\sigma_x^2 = E[(x - E[x])^2]$

(c) 2017, Mehdi Tahoori Reliable Computing I: Lecture 2 18

Probability of Failure



- Random variable T is time to the next failure
 - Lifetime of a module (time until it fails)
- $F(t) = \text{Prob} \{T \leq t\}$
 - Probability that component will fail before or at time t
- $f(t) = \frac{dF(t)}{dt}$, $\int_0^{\infty} f(t)dt = 1$, $f(t) \geq 0$ (for all $t \geq 0$)
 - The momentary rate of probability of failure at time t
- F and f are related through:

$$f(t) = \frac{dF(t)}{dt} \qquad F(t) = \int_0^t f(s)ds$$

Reliability R(t)



- Probability that the system has been operating correctly and continuously from time 0 until time t, given that it was operating correctly at time 0
 - $R(t) = \text{Prob} \{T > t\} = 1 - F(t)$
- MTTF: Mean Time To Failure
 - Expected value of the lifetime T

$$MTTF = E[T] = \int_0^{\infty} t \cdot f(t)dt$$

With $\frac{dR(t)}{dt} = -f(t)$ follows:

$$MTTF = -\int_0^{\infty} t \cdot \frac{dR(t)}{dt} \cdot dt = -tR(t) \Big|_0^{\infty} + \int_0^{\infty} R(t)dt = \int_0^{\infty} R(t)dt$$

Failure Rate λ



- Number of failures per time unit w.r.t. number of surviving components
 - Also known as hazard function, $z(t)$
- $\lambda(t) = z(t) = \frac{dF(t)/dt}{(1-F(t))} = \frac{f(t)}{R(t)}$
- A module has a constant failure rate if and only if T has an exponential distribution


$$R(t) = e^{-\lambda t}; F(t) = 1 - e^{-\lambda t}; R(0) = 1$$

$$f(t) = \lambda e^{-\lambda t}$$

Failure Rate $\lambda(t) = \lambda$



$$MTTF = \int_0^{\infty} t \cdot \lambda e^{-\lambda t} dt = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} dt = \frac{1}{\lambda}$$




- Reliability $R(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$

Availability



- Availability $A(t)$
 - Fraction of time system is operational during the interval $[0, t]$
 - Excludes time for recovery or repair
- MTTR: Mean Time To Repair
- MTBF: Mean Time Between Failures
 - $MTBF = MTTF + MTTR$

$$A = \frac{E[Uptime]}{E[Uptime] + E[Downtime]} = \frac{MTTF}{MTTF + MTTR} = \frac{MTTF}{MTBF}$$



Other failure distribution models



- Weibull distribution
 - α : shape parameter
 - $\alpha < 1$: failure rate decreasing with time
 - $\alpha = 1$: failure rate constant
 - $\alpha > 1$: failure rate increasing with time
 - λ : scale parameter
 - PDF = $f(t) = \alpha\lambda(\lambda t)^{\alpha-1}e^{-(\lambda t)^\alpha}$
 - CDF = $F(t) = 1 - e^{-(\lambda t)^\alpha}$
 - Reliability = $R(t) = e^{-(\lambda t)^\alpha}$

Other failure distribution models



■ Geometric distribution

- Discrete times 0, 1, 2, ...
 - Replacing $e^{-\lambda}$ by discrete probability q
 - Replacing t by n
- PMF = $f(n) = q^n - q^{n+1} = q^n(1 - q)$
- CDF = $F(n) = 1 - q^n$
- Reliability = $R(n) = q^n$
- $\mu = \frac{1}{1-q}$, $\sigma = \frac{q^{1/2}}{1-q}$

■ Discrete Weibull distribution

Maintainability



■ MTTR may be subdivided as follows

- Time needed to detect a fault and isolate the responsible components (diagnosis)
- Time needed to replace the faulty component
- Time needed to verify that the fault has been removed and the system is fully operational

■ Design for maintainability

- System design which supports efficient fault detection, isolation and repair

Performability



- *Accomplishment levels* L_1, L_2, \dots, L_n defined in the application context
 - Representing a level of *quality of service* delivered by the application
 - *E.g.*: L_i indicates i system crashes during mission time
- Performability is a vector $(P(L_1), P(L_2), \dots, P(L_n))$
 - $P(L_i)$: Probability that the system performs well enough that the application reaches level L_i